Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/531,120	PREUSS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>Pending</u>
(1) <u>Teresa E Strzelecka</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Gould.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-127 and 147-231		
Prior art documents discussed:		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	ecord of the substance of the	ritten summary of the substance
Terrsa Strelectia		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/531,120

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-127, 133, 141-144 and 147-231 were pending in the application, with claims 1-127 and 147-231 withdrawn from consideration. Claims 133 and 141-144 were found to be allowable, therefore Mr. Gould was asked if the withdrawn claims can be cancelled by Examiner's amendment, and Mr. Gould agreed...